

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/787,103 02/27/2004		Mitsuo Satake	KSM-0222	4818		
23353 7	7590 09/05/2006		EXAMINER			
RADER FISH	HMAN & GRAUER P	RYCKMAN,	RYCKMAN, MELISSA K			
LION BUILDI 1233 20TH ST	ING REET N.W., SUITE 501	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	N, DC 20036	3734				
			DATE MAILED: 00/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			03	SATAKE ET AL.				
			7	Art Unit				
		Melissa F	tyckman	3734				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  ent, however, may a reply be tire  ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  slication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 February 20	04.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	<u>-</u>							
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
·								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•		o Examinor. W	no uno autaonea o moc		10 102.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/04, 5/14/04		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: IDS cont 6/1	ate Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/787,103

Art Unit: 3734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The format of the claims are preferred to be in the form of a preamble, a transitional phrase (such as comprises), and body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5,6,7,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,585,754) in view of Coplan (3,918,455).
- 3. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 1 comprising: a flexible monofilament (col. 2 II. 62) of a bioabsorbable polymer (col. 3 II. 27-32). However Wallace et al. is silent regarding the construction of the monofilament. Coplan teaches a hollow monofilament (col.1 II. 50-52) as used in a surgical suture needle. This combination would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as making the monofilament hollow is a possible way of delivering drugs.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,103

Art Unit: 3734

4. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 5 comprising a bioabsorbable polymer that is an aliphatic polyester bioabsorbable polymer (col. 3 ll. 27-32).

Page 3

- 5. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocculsive coil as referred to in claim 6 comprising a bioabsorbable polymer that is a poly (lactic acid) (col. 3 II. 30).
- 6. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 7 which is formed by winding the hollow monofilament of the bioabsorbable polymer helically around a mandrel (col. 6 II. 53).
- 7. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 9 where the madrel is in the form of a straight rod or has a helical or random form (col. 3 ll. 18-20).
- 8. Wallace et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil ás referred to in claim 10 comprising: a secondary coil formed by winding the hollow monofilament of the bioabsorbable polymer into a helical form of small diameter and further winding the primary coil into a helical form of large diameter (col. 6 II. 40-41).
- 9. Claims 1-4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchart et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,994,069) in view of Coplan (U.S. Patent No. 3,918,455).
- 10. Ritchart et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 1, however it does not disclose a hollow monofilament of a bioabsorbable polymer. Coplan teaches a hollow monofilament (col.1 II. 50-52) of a bioabsorbable polymer (col. 2 II. 25-29) as used in a surgical suture needle. This combination would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/787,103

Art Unit: 3734

ordinary skill in the art as making the coil with a hollow monofilament is a possible way of delivering drugs and making the coil bioabsorbable is advantageous so the coil will not later need to be removed by surgery.

- 11. Ritchart et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 2 which holds shape memory and restores the shape thereof after being pushed out of the catheter (col. 2 II. 55-59).
- 12. Ritchart et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 3 where the wire is 0.25 mm to 0.75 mm (col. 4 ll. 58) in outside diameter, which falls in the range of 0.015 mm to 0.4 mm.
- 13. Ritchart et al. does not disclose a vasoocclusive coil where the monofilament is hollow, therefore an inner diameter is not applicable as referred to in claim 4, however Coplan teaches a hollow monofilament (col.1 II. 50-52) with a bore diameter ranging from 0.025 mm to 0.508 mm, which falls in the range of 0.01 mm to 0.3 mm. This combination would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as making the coil with a hollow monofilament is a possible way of delivering drugs.
- 14. Ritchart et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 7 which is formed by winding a wire around a mandrel (col. 6 II. 21-22), however Coplan teaches a hollow monofilament (col.1 II. 50-52) of a bioabsorbable polymer (col. 2 II. 25-29).
- 15. This combination would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as making the coil with a hollow monofilament is a possible way of delivering drugs and making the coil bioabsorbable is advantageous so the coil will not later need to be removed by surgery.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,103 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

16. Ritchart et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil as referred to in claim 8 however Ritchart et al. is silent regarding the variations in shape of the mandrel. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to bend the mandrel at at least one distal end, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. The bend in the mandrel can help with the positioning and guiding of the coil. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)

#### Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patent No. (7,070,608) Kurz et al. discloses a vasoocclusive coil
- U.S. Pub No. (2003/0004533) Dieck et al. discloses a bioactive polymer vasooccluvsive device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Ryckman whose telephone number is (571)-272-9969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571)-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,103 Page 6

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MKR** 

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER